





# ISE 2016 PROCEEDINGS PROCEEDINGS INTERNATIONAL SEMINAR ON EDUCATION "EDUCATION TRENDS FOR "EDUCATION TRENDS FOR FUTURE SOCIETY"

AUGUST 30, 2016
TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION FACULTY
PONOROGO MUHAMMADIYAH UNIVERSITY
INDONESIA

# TABLE OF CONTENT

TITLE PAGE	
STEERING COMMITTEE	
PREFACE	
TABLE OF CONTENT	
THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE ROLE OF STATE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL	
COMMITTEE IN JOMBANG REGENCY EAST JAVA INDONESIA	
Kustomo, Pahriyono	•••
THE EXISTENCE AND CHALLENGE OF MADRASAH (Identification Problem and	
Typology of Madrasah Aliyah in Kudus)	
Supa'at	•••
IMPROVING STUDENTS' WRITING COMPETENCE THROUGH GUIDED	
IMAGERY	
Rustiani Widiasih	•••
E-COMMERCE AS ACCOMODATE AND TOOL NAROTAMA UNIVERSITY	
STUDENTS BECOME ENTREPRENEUR	
Sri Wiwoho Mudjanarko, Arasy Alimudin, Eman Setiawan, Gede Arimbawa, Bustomi	•••
A STEP AHEAD EDUCATION (Human Potential Development Oriented)	
Azis	•••
INTEGRATION OF SCHOOL AND FAMILY AS MAIN CHARACTER EDUCATION	N
IN EARLY CHILDHOOD	
Isfauzi Hadi Nugroho	•••
CONTENT VALIDITY OF CHARACTER EDUCATION IN KINDERGARTEN	
Umi Faizah, Badrun Kartowagiran, Darmiyati Zuchdi	•••
THE IMPLEMENTATION MODEL OF CHARACTER BUILDING BASED ON	
HONESTY CANTEEN IN A BASIC EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS	
(A Review of Behaviouristics theory, on Islamic and West Perspective)	
Sri Susanti	

LECTURERS' STRATEGIES IN GIVING FEEDBACK TOWARDS STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING: A CASE STUDY IN STATE ISLAMIC COLLEGE OF PONOROGO	
Restu Mufanti	67
INTEGRATING LOCAL CULTURE TO TEACH ENGLISH FOR EFL STUDENTS	74
Nuri Ati Ningsih, Lulus Irawati, Dahlia Novarianing Asri	74
VERBAL ABUSE IN ADOLESCENT (Speech Act Study on Dating Chat)	
Rosita Ambarwati, Dwi Rositasari	79
THE EFFECT OF BLENDED LEARNING IN TEACHING LISTENING	
Rengganis Siwi Amumpuni	86
DIDACTIC CONTRACTS IN REALISTIC MATHEMATICS EDUCATION TEACHING PRACTICE IN INDONESIA: A LESSON ON ADDITION	
Zetra Hainul PutraZetra Hainul Putra	93
SCHOOL MANAGEMENT WITH SECSI PROGRAM TO IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF SCHOOL CULTURE	
Mulyani	101
THE EDUCATION OF "SUFFERING" TO STUDENTS (The Study on Excellent Program in Madrasah Aliyah Plus "Nururrohmah" Pondok Pesantren Al - Kamal Tambaksari Kuwarasan Kebumen, Central Java)	
Azam Syukur Rahmatullah	107
ISLAM AND MULTICULTURAL EDUCATION	
Moh. Toriqul Chaer	113
CONVERSATION ANALYSIS IN AN INTERPERSONAL COMMUNICATION (Case Study of Conversation Analysis Between Trainer And Trainee In BBPPKS Yogyakarta)	
Anis Rahmawati	121
LEARNING GRAMMAR FROM SHAKESPEARE	
Basilius Himawan Setyo Wibowo	128
NEW MEDIA AS A POLITICAL EDUCATION IN ESTABLISHING QUALIFIED DEMOCRACY	
Darwadi	132

THE CORRELATIONS BETWEEN PARENTS KNOWLEDGE AND	
THE STIMULATION DEVELOPMENT OF A HARD MOTORIC CHILDREN 1-5	
YEARS OLD AT "MELATI" POSYANDU IN MBAOSAN LOR VILLAGE OF	
NGRAYUN SUB-DISTRICT PONOROGO	
Ani Rosita	140
PRIVACY MANAGEMENT IN NEW MEDIA	
(A Case Study of Civil Servant Facebook Users in Sukoharjo)	
Danang Wisnu Anggoro	148
HOW TO USE 3H (HERE, HIDDEN, IN MY HEAD) IN TEACHING READING OF NARRATIVE TEXT	
Brigitta Septarini Rahmasari	155
STUDIES OF SOCIAL EDUCATION THEORY THROUGH YOGA LEARNING (TOURIST LEARNING PHENOMENON IN BALI)	
I Wayan Suyanta, Muhadjir Effendy, S. Mundzir, Hardika	159
THE EFFECT OF WEBQUEST ON EFL READING ABILITY	
Nanang Fitrianto, Mohammad Adnan Latief, Nur Mukminatien, Sri Rachmajanti	169
THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INDEPENDENCE LEARNING AND PARENTS' ATTENTION WITH THE LEARNING ACHIEVEMENT OF KDPK SUBJECTS IN SEMESTER II STUDENTS IN THE HARAPAN MULYA ACADEMY OF MIDWIFERY PONOROGO.	
Dwi Nurjayanti	178
ACHIEVING A CULTURE AND AFFECTIONATE IN ISLAMIC BOARDING SCHOOL ( <i>PESANTREN</i> )	
Wiworo Retnadi Rias Hayu, Din Azwar Uswatun	185
HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT BASED KNOWLEDGE: TOWARDS EDUCATION PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATION	
I Gede Sedana Suci, H. Ahmad Sonhadji, K.H, Ali Imron, Imron Arifin	190
DEVELOPING AUDIO VISUAL CD MATERIAL FOR BASIC TEACHING SKILL IN TEACHING MATHEMATICS	
Novi Andri Nurcahyono, Eka Novarina	195
THE FORMULATION OF NATIONAL EDUCATION IN A VIEW OF PHILOSOPHY PANCASILA IN ASEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY (MEA) GLOBAL ERA	
Rohmat Widiyanto	202

BREAK THE BOREDOM IN READING CLASS: INTEGRATING ONLINE GAME WITH ESA TEACHING METHOD (ENGAGE, STUDY, AND ACTIVATE)	
Dodi Erwin Prasetyo, Shohibul Muttaqien Al Mandury, Wildan Isna Ashar	208
1	
PGSD STUDENTS' CRITICAL THINKING SKILS DEVELOPMENT THROUGH	
MEDIA LITERACY IN THE GLOBALIZATION ERA	
Iis Nurasiah	214
USING HOT POTATOES PROGRAM FOR READING TEST INSTRUMENT IN	
ENGLISH TEACHING LEARNING PROCESS	
Syamsudin	220
PLAYING SONGS MATERIAL	
FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN PONOROGO REGENCY	
Hartini <sup>1</sup> , Endang Sri Maruti	229
3K: EASY KEYS TO DEVELOP AN AUTONOMOUS LEARNER	
Siti Zulaihah, Ratri Harida, Heru Setiawan	239
DISCOVERING THE CONCEPT OF RELIGIOUS MORAL VALUES FOR YOUNG	
LEARNERS	
Badruli Martati, Wahyuni Suryaningtyas, Misrin Hariyadi	246
THE EFFECT OF PAIR WORK AS PREWRITING ACTIVITY TOWARDS THE	
STUDENTS' WRITING QUALITY	
Vita Vendityaningtyas <sup>1</sup> , Erlik Widiyani Styati	250
ANTI-TERRORISM EDUCATION POLICIES (THEORETICAL UNDERSTANDING	
OF INDONESIA'S PERSPECTIVE AS A RELIGIOUS LEGAL STATE)	
M.Arham AB	256
TILLY TIME IN THE STATE OF THE	230
RELIGION-BASED INTEGRAL EDUCATION	
(Effort In Solving Misunderstanding In Law-Governed Country)	
Yogi Prasetyo, Nurul Iman	261
USING JIGSAW TECHNIQUE TO IMPROVE THE WRITING ABILITY OF THE	
SECOND YEAR STUDENTS OF MTs AL-ISLAM NGANJUK	
Muhammad Lukman Syafii	268
THE ESSENCE OF MULTILITERACY LEARNING AS A TREND OF THE 21 <sup>st</sup> CENTURY	
Mohammad Iskak	276
	~

THE DEVELOPMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION ETHICS THROUGH TRI HITA KARANA BASED LOCAL WISDOM IN BALINESE SOCIAL ORDER	
Sunitha Devi, Ni Kadek Yuliandari, Christy K Sanjaya, Ni Made Rianita, I Gusti Made	
Arya Wijaya	283
THE ADOLESCENTS' DISCLOSURE PROCESS COMMUNICATE THEIR	
PERSONAL PROBLEMS WITH PARENTS AT SMAN 3 SURAKARTA	202
Muhammad Aulia Waliulu	293
THE DEVELOPMENT OF COMIC LEARNING MEDIA AS INNOVATION IN	
IMPROVING LANGUAGE SKILL FOR EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	
Ida Yeni Rahmawati	300
STRATEGIES FOR IMPROVING MUSICAL ABILITY OF TEACHERS FOR VERY	
YOUNG LEARNER IN YOGYAKARTA: A CASE STUDY AT SEKOLAH CIPTA	
LAGU ANAK (S.C.L.A) STPI BINA INSAN MULIA YOGYAKARTA	
Setyoadi Purwanto, Umi Faizah	306
THE INFLUENCE OF COMMUNICATION COMPETENCE AND	
COMMUNICATOR CREDIBILITY OF SUPREME AUDIT BOARD TOWARD THE	
EFFECTIVITY OF AUDIT	
(STUDY AT DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, YOUTH AND SPORT DENPASAR)	212
Nugroho Agus Rianto	312
THE INFLUENCE OF RELIGIOSITY TOWARDS DECISION MAKING TO SELECT	
COURSE STUDY IN THE ISLAMIC-BASED UNIVERSITY	
(STUDY IN THE FACULTY OF SHARIAH AND LAW OF SUNAN KALIJAGA	
ISLAMIC STATE UNIVERSITY, YOGYAKARTA) Yusuf Safingi	325
EDUCATION COMMUNICATION OF FAMILY PLANNING CADRE	22.6
Laili Hikmawati Azizah, Prahastiwi Utari, Mahendra Wijaya	336
AN ANALYSIS OF A RELATION BETWEEN PERSONAL FACTORS AND	
BALANCE NUTRITION PERCEPTIONS AMONG FAMILIES LIVING IN DOWN	
SYNDROME ENDEMIC AREA	
(A Study of The Role of Nurse As A Health EducatoR)	
Sugeng Mashudi	348
ANALYSIS OF SUSCEPTIBLE MENTAL DISORDER WOMEN	
(Study of Anxiety Reviewed from Education Level)	
Eky Okviana Armyati	351
THE POLICY OF TEACHER'S CONDEMNATION BASED ON CHILD	
PROTECTION LAW	

Arief Budiono	356
CHILDREN'S RIGHT TO EDUCATION BASED ON CHILD PROTECTION LAW IN INDONESIA	
Wafda Vivid Izziyana	361
SEX EDUCATION IN EARLY CHILDHOOD BY HYPNOTHERAPY METHOD Betty Yulia Wulansari	366
STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION OF SCHOOL LITERACY MOVEMENT Moh. Safii	372
IMPLICATIONS OF DIDACTIC PHENOMENOLOGY AND PROGRESSIVE MATHEMATICIAN IN MATHEMATICS LEARNING	
Warsito, Sukirwan	379
EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE AND SPIRITUAL EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY IN ISLAMIC PERSPECTIVE  Muh Tajab	389
THE EFFECT OF HUMAN RESOURCES COMPETENCE AND ORGANIZATION OF LEARNING TO PERFORMANCE AND ITS IMPACT ON COMPETITIVENESS	
(Studies in the School of Economic in the Environment of Kopertis VII Area, East Java)  Fariz	395
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES OF STUDENTS LEARNING PACKAGE B KBM IN GORONTALO	
Abdul Rahmat, Aisa Hasan	404
ENVIRONMENTALISM-BASED E-LEARNING FOR CHILDREN Eliyyil Akbar	409
THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A PLAY MODEL FOR DEVELOPING READING, WRITING, AND NUMERACY IN EARLY CHILDHOOD	44.5
Sugiono, Kuntjojo	416
DEVELOPING AND LEARNING HOW TO OPTIMIZE EARLY CHILDHOOD ACTIVITY THROUGH PLAYING Rosa Imani Khan	422
Nosa main main	122
VILLAGE LIBRARY AS A MEANS OF NON-FORMAL EDUCATION BASED ON THE LOCAL WISDOM	4
Johan Bhimo Sukoco	427

STUDENT PARTICIPATION IN RELATION WITH <i>PAKEM</i> AND LEARNING AUTONOMY, COMPLETENESS OF SOCIAL SCIENCE LEARNING	
Muhamad Chamdani	434
OPTIMIZATION OF COOPERATIVE LEARNING FOR DEVELOPMENT OF CHARACTER IN EARLY CHILDHOOD	4.42
Anwardiani Iftaqul Janah	443
CHARACTER EDUCATION IN THE <i>REYOG</i> ART OF <i>PONOROGO</i> AND A TEACHING STRATEGY OF ETHNOLINGUISTIC  Alip Sugianto	447
THE USE OF SOCIAL MEDIA AS A TOOL OF EDUCATING PEOPLE	
Roso Prajoko	453
TEACHING MATERIALS DEVELOPMENT BASED ON <i>PROJECT</i> OF MATHEMATICS INSTRUCTIONAL MEDIA	
Eka Novarina, Novi Andri Nurcahyono	458
THE USE OF COMIC MEDIA TO IDENTIFY THE INTRINSIC ELEMENTS OF DRAMA TEXTS FOR EIGHTH GRADERS STUDENTS OF SMPN 1 MANTINGAN	
Asri Musandi Waraulia	467
COMMUNICATION PROCESS IN EDUCATION  Media Gustriani	473
THE SPIRITUAL EDUCATION TOWARD INSAN KAMIL IN THE EDUCATION OF MODERN HUMANKIND  Wahyudi Setiawan	479
wanyudi Setiawan	4/7
THE ROLE PROFESSIONALISM ROLE OF AL ISLAM AND MUHAMMADIYAH LECTURER IN FORMING ISLAMIC CHARACTER	
Dyah Lyesmaya, Leonita Siwiyanti	485
EFFECTIVE LEADERSHIP IN BUILDING THE STUDENTS' CHARACTER Rokhmaniyah	490
ROKIIIIaiiiyaii	770
INCLUSIVE EDUCATION CURRICULUM FOR EXCEPTIONAL CHILDREN IN THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	40.6
Astri Sutisnawati	496
THE EFFECT OF PARENTS' ATTENTION ON STUDENTS' WILLINGNESS TO LEARN AT <i>MADRASAH IBTIDAIYAH</i> MUHAMMADIYAH PK SUBDISTRICT KARTOSURO SUKOHARJO IN 2016	
Eti Kurniasih	502

THE DEVELOPMENT OF HUMAN POTENTIAL THROUGH EDUCATION ACCORDING TO IBNU SINA Katni	508
SOURCES OF LECTURER ASSISTANTS' TEACHING ANXIETY IN TEACHING INSTRUCTIONAL TECHNOLOGY COURSE: A QUALITATIVE INTERVIEW STUDY	
Nenden Octavarulia Shanty	513
COMMUNICATION ETHICS IN AN ORGANIZATION (A Case Study: Communication Ethics in Improving Public Services at Education Official of Sukoharjo) Heri Prasetyo	526
EDUCATION IN THE USE OF TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION DIFFUSION E - PROCUREMENT IN SUKOHARJO DISTRICT  Danang Indra Sumardi	535
IMPLEMENTATION OF DEMOCRATIC NURTURE IN UPHOLDING LEARNERS' LEARNING AUTONOMY Prihma Sinta Utami	541
THE IMPLEMENTATION OF COOPERATIVE LEARNING IN TRANSLATION 2 COURSE (A Case Study at English Education Study program in IKIP Madiun) Yuli Kuswardani <sup>1</sup> , Ermi Adriani Meikayanti	548
INTEGRATION OF LOCAL WISDOM IN EDUCATION Rido Kurnianto, Niken Lestarini	558
FEARLESS FEEDBACK FOR EFL LEARNERS Ana Maghfiroh	565
OPTIMIZATION OF CHARACTER VALUES IN EARLY CHILDHOOD THROUGH BUJANG GANONG FIGURE Dian Kristiana	570
THE ANALYSIS OF COLLEGE STUDENTS' DIFFICULTIES IN PRODUCING PROOFS	
Arta Ekayanti	576
THE ISLAMIC EDUCATIONAL VALUES IN <i>ABOGE</i> TRADITION IN THE CIKAKAK VILLAGE BANYUMAS CENTRAL JAVA Happy Susanto, Suryati	581
THE EDUCATIONAL AND SOCIO-CULTURAL ANALYSIS TOWARD COMMUNITY AROUND SOUTHERN CROSSROAD OF	

PACITAN REGENCY	
Maryono, Mukodi, dan Sugiyono	589
VALUE EDUCATION IN <i>SÊRAT MANEKA CARITA</i> AS LITERATURE LEARNING	
MATERIALS IN THE EFFORTS TO BUILD CHILDREN CHARACTER	
Istanti Fatkhul Janah	597
THE SCHOOL ASPECTS CAUSED CHILDREN STRESS	
Rina Wijayanti, Mochammad Ramli Akbar	602
INTERPERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN	
PARENTS AND CHILDREN IN FAMILY	
Sarah Emmanuel Haryono	616
GENDER EDUCATION BASED ON FAMILY MANAGEMENT FOR INDONESIAN WORKERS IN PONOROGO	
Ekapti Wahjuni Djuwitaningsih	620
THE THINKING PROCESS OF JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS' IN SOLVING MATHEMATIC PROBLEM BASED ON GENDER	
Dwi Avita Nurhidayah	626
THE ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS' AUTONOMY BASED ON THE ACHIEVEMENT IN LEARNING MATHEMATICS (A CASE STUDY) Senja Putri Merona	631
THE USE OF THE EUPHEMISM FORM AS MADIUN'S CULTURAL SOCIETIES AND EFFECT IN LANGUAGE TEACHING	
Nanang Cendriono	636
THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SCIENTIFIC APPROACH IN ENGLISH LEARNING Niken Reti Idriastuti	640
ORGANIZATION OF GENERIC STRUCTURE IN RECOUNT TEXTS WRITTEN BY THE UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS	
Adip Arifin, Syamsudin Rois	646
ENCOURAGING THE STUDENTS' PARTICIPATION IN SCIENTIFIC LEARNING Intan Sari Rufiana	653
	500
FROM INDRA TO MELVINO: A STUDY ON LANGUAGE SHIFT IN NAMING JAVANESSE CHILDREN	
Divah Atiek Mustikawati	658

THE INFLUENCE OF HEALTH EDUCATION ON KNOWLEDGE OF SUPERVISOR OF SWALLOWING DRUGS ABOUT LUNG TUBERCULOSIS IN PONOROGO	
Sulistyo Andarmoyo	665
LANGUAGE AND PERSONALITY	
Harmawan Wardani	671
THE EFFECTIVENESS OF INSTAGRAM AS THE MEDIA OF LITERACY EDUCATION FOR ADOLESCENTS	<b>675</b>
Muh. Zainuri Iskandar	675
CHILDREN'S FAITH EDUCATION IN AN INTERFAITH MARRIAGE FAMILY (A Case Study of Moslem and Catholic Couples in the Special Region of Yogyakarta) Waharjani	679
THE AUTONOMY OF <i>PONDOK PESANTREN WALI SONGO NGABAR</i> PONOROGO GRADUATES IN SELF- DETERMINATION THEORY PERSPECTIVE	
Darmani	692
THE EDUCATIONAL VALUES IN THE LIFE OF WONG SIKEP BLORA Mukodi, Afid Burhanuddin	704
INCREASING SCIENCE CAPACITY THROUGH NATURE CENTER SETTING	
(ACTION RESEARCH IN TK B AL HIKAM OF MALANG 2016) Siti Muntomimah	710
	710
THE COMBINATION OF REWARD AND PUNISHMENT IN INCREASING STUDENTS' LISTENING ABILITY	
Risqi Ekanti Ayuningtyas Palupi	714
ISLAMIC TEACHER ROLE IN IMPLEMENTING THE VALUE OF DEMOCRACY FOR THE EARLY VOTERS IN MADRASAH ALIYAH NEGERI KEMBANGSAWIT MADIUN	<b>52</b> 0
Nuraini, Wahyudi	720
THE FULFILLMENT OF THE BASIC EDUCATION MINIMUM SERVICE STANDARDS (SPM) OF JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL IN PONOROGO 2015	
Subangun, Diyah Atiek Mustikawati	726
MATHEMATICAL MODELLING COMPETENCIES FOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS	
Erika Eka Santi	734

# THE ANALYSIS OF COLLEGE STUDENTS' DIFFICULTIES IN PRODUCING PROOFS

Arta Ekayanti Universitas Muhammadiyah Ponorogo arta\_ekayanti@ymail.com

### Abstract

Learning difficultness is a condition which the student can't learn as usual because of the threat and disturbances in learning. Learning difficultness faced by students happened when they followed the lessons from the teacher. Based on result observation of real analysis course work known that many students got the difficultness when prove the proposition given by the teacher. The research results showed that the students got contents understanding difficulties, such as misunderstanding of definitions, not enough word and lack of understanding. It means that they got difficulties with mathematics processes. There are many student identified proving using examples to be invalid. They use specific examples to prove general statements.

Keywords: learning difficultness,mathematical proof, students error

### INTRODUCTION

Mathematics is as such an essential discipline because of the important role it plays in the individual's personal life. It is considered as symbolic and universal language that enables human beings to thinks, record and communicates ideas concerning the elements and relationship of quantity. Mathematical skills are abstract skills that involve mapping of language into symbols. The basic purpose of learning mathematics should be problem solving, which involves presenting a problem and developing the skills needed to solve that problem. Every individual is required to make effective use of the quantitative information available in his/her environment in problems of day-to-day life (Jena, 2013: 68). Luce and Suppes in Jena (2013) suggest that future mathematical research should address to the content of mathematics, concentrating particularly on the character of mathematical thinking rather than on nature of mathematical objects.

Yin Ko and Eric (2009) say that proving and refuting are crucial abilities in advanced mathematical thinking because they help demonstrate whether and why propositions are true or false. In the mathematics community, proving and refuting are inextricably linked given the role each plays in establishing mathematical knowledge. A mathematical proof requires that definitions, statements or procedures are used to deduce the truth of one statement from another, helping people understand the logic behind a statement and insight into how and why it works. Mathematical proofs can provide students with insight into meanings behind statements and also help them see why statements are true or false. Accordingly, undergraduate mathematics students are expected to learn and to use proofs throughout the undergraduate mathematics curriculum.

Looking at the processes involved in proving and how students understand proofs are thoroughly addresses topics. Of particular interest to us is how students verify and validate proofs and the misconceptions in proving. Stylianides in Stavrou (2014) reports students' misconception that empirical arguments constitute valid proofs. That is, students use specific examples to prove general statements. Pfeiffer in Stavrou (2014) conducted a study in which she presented mathematical proofs containing errors to first year mathematics undergraduates and asked them to evaluate and criticize the statements. Pfeiffer notes that many students identified proving using examples to be invalid. (Stavrou, 2014: 1-2)

This paper considers undergraduate educations students who are take real analysis course. We examine common errors that these students make when proving statements in their course work.

# **International Seminar on Education "Education Trends for Future Society"**

Teacher Training and Education Faculty, Muhammadiyah University of Ponorogo, August 30, 2016

Our purpose then is to gathering and analyzing these common mistakes. This paper was guided by questions: What are the common errors that mathematics education students make when writing proofs. Accordingly, from this question we know the learning difficultness of mathematics undergraduate students in Muhammadiyah University of Ponorogo.

# LITERATURE REVIEW

Learning difficultness is a condition which the student can't learn as usual because of the threat and disturbances in learning. Learning difficultness faced by students happened when followed the lessons from the teachers. Trying to detect learning difficulties can also be done with different perspectives and there are several general questions to consider, for example: Are the measures proper, e.g. examinations and other tests? What are the common informal indications? Do we need special diagnostic tools than the ones that seem more common in primary school? Can students recognize and understand their own difficulties? (Lithner, 2011:290-291).

Learning difficulties in mathematics can lead different meaning. Different things and the problem are not just makes misunderstand each other, but also that different meanings may lead to different conclusions and actions. The characteristics of learning difficulties can be related to educational goals that some students fail to reach to various degrees. These goals can, in a similar way as in for example the NCTM Standards (NCTM, 2000) for K-12 education, be seen as comprising both content and processes to master.

# 1. Content understanding difficulties

Many learning and achievement difficulties are directly related to inherent mathematical difficulties within specified concepts. For example, concerning calculus the difficulties found in the research literature seem related to all of its fundamental notions, e.g. variable, function, limit, derivative, integral and differential equation. There are other literature examples related to linear algebra, abstract algebra and real analysis. It is clear that students' concept images often differ substantially from the concept definitions, not only in the sense that they are incomplete but also in characteristics. These difficulties are at least partially explained by theory indicating the complexity of mental concept formations. It is also clear that a conceptual understanding is not sufficient for mathematical proficiency.

# 2. Difficulties with mathematical processes

There are findings related to difficulties with what in the NTCM Principles and Standards (NCTM, 2000) are denoted processes, e.g. non-routine problem-solving, proof and proving, reasoning, representing and modeling. There are many studies on different aspects of learning, understanding and implementing proof. Students have difficulties in differing proofs from other less rigorous types of argumentation, understanding proof statements, making the transition from informal to formal reasoning and constructing proofs (Lithner, 2011:291-293).

Stefanonowicz (2014) stated that a proof was a sequence of logical statement, one implying another, which given as explanation of why a statement is true. Previously established theorems may be used to deduce the new ones, one may also refer to axioms, which are the starting points, "rule" accepted by everyone. Mathematical proof is absolute, which means that once a theorem is proved, it is proved forever. Until proven though, the statement is never accepted as a true one. Writing proofs is the essence of mathematics study. Every word will be defined, notations clearly presented and each theorem proved. Students learn how to construct logical arguments and what a good proof looks like. It is not easy though and requires practice, there for it is always tempting for students to learn theorems and apply them, leaving proofs behind.

Yin ko and Eric (2009) say that from a traditional perspective, "a mathematical proof is a formal and logical line of reasoning that begins with a set of axioms and moves through steps to a conclusion". A mathematical proof requires some aspects, such as the essential components of sets of accepted statement, modes of argumentation and modes of argument representation. In this statement, proof serves as a means to communicate thoughts with learners in the

mathematics community. Common sense suggests that individuals who understand what constitutes a mathematical proof may be more successful at evaluating purported arguments or their written responses as a valid proof or not.

In order to better characterize undergraduates' proof productions for a true proposition, three proof classifications applied to the study reported here. The first proof category, the inductive proof scheme, describes how individuals convince themselves or persuade others by providing one or more particular examples, which corresponds to naive empiricism (verification by several randomly selected cases) and crucial experiment (verification by carefully selected cases). The second proof category, non-referential symbolic proof scheme, demonstrates that individuals employ symbolic manipulations with little or no coherent understandings of their meanings. In other words, students manipulate symbols with no "functional or quantitative reference[s]". The third proof category, the structural proof scheme, suggests that individuals realize that "definitions and theorems belong in the structure created by a particular set of axioms". According to Balacheff in Yin Ko (2009), calculations on statements mean students rely on definitions, theorems, or explicit properties related to the statement when producing a proof. Similarly, Weber and Alcock in Yon Ko (2009) described how an individual attempts to construct a proof by stating the mathematical definition or using related facts that he or she knows about the concepts of producing proofs as defined by syntactic proof productions (Yin ko, 2009: 69).

### **METHOD**

This research is a qualitative research. This research was conducted in two classes at Mathematics Department, Teacher Training and Education Faculty, Muhammadiyah University of Ponorogo. The course work of undergraduate students in mathematics department who take real analysis course was observed here. Real analysis course is proof-based and require that students be able to write and understand mathematical proofs.

The data were collected by correcting the student works in doing real numbers system problems. As their assignments were being graded and compiled a list of errors made by the students. From this list, the most common errors were noted and described. The purpose of this is to answer the research question of what the common errors are that education students make when proving.

Instruments validated by two lecturers who are experts in their fields. The instruments are used to identify the student error when proving. Instrument is taken from textbook that is used in real analysis course.

# **DISCUSSION**

In introduction has been stated that the question of this research is "what is the common error that mathematics education students make when writing proofs?" Below are sample examples:

1. Show that, if 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{R}$$
, then  $\min\{a, b\} = \frac{1}{2}(a + b - |a - b|)$ .

A sample solution is:

Let 
$$a = 5$$
 and  $b = 7$ , then on the left side we get  $\min\{a, b\} = \min\{5, 7\} = 5$ . On the right side, we get  $\frac{1}{2}(a + b - |a - b|) = \frac{1}{2}(5 + 7 - |5 - 7|) = \frac{1}{2}(12 - 2) = 5$ . Therefore, we have  $\min\{a, b\} = \frac{1}{2}(a + b - |a - b|)$ .

The above example is representative of some student work who was proving general statements using specific examples. A proof is a deductive argument for a mathematical statement. Based on student work above, it seemed that student do not understand that proving processes in mathematics can't be done by specific case. They think if a proposition can be applied in a special case, it leads to general case.

2. If x and y irrational numbers, are x + y irrational?

A sample solution is:

Let  $x = \sqrt{2}$  and  $y = -\sqrt{2}$  then  $x + y = \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2} = 0$  is irrational. Hence, if x and y irrational, then x + y is irrational.

3. If x and y irrational numbers, are xy irrational number?

A sample solution is:

Let  $x = \sqrt{2}$  and  $y = \sqrt{2}$  then  $xy = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{2} = 2$ . Then, if x, y irrational number then xy rational number.

4. If x and y irrational numbers, are  $x^y$  irrational?

A sample solution is:

Let 
$$x = \sqrt{3}$$
 and  $y = \sqrt{2}$  then  $x^y = \sqrt{3}^{\sqrt{2}}$  irrational.

The example given above is representative of the responses from some students who almost provided an example to make the answer of that question is false. These students seemed have assuming that the conclusion of the statement is true, so they provide an example that supported the truth value of the statement "if x and y irrational, then x + y, xy and  $x^y$  irrational." It showed that if the student did not master the topic mathematical proofs well, so the student found the difficult. It seemed they get problem in counter example concept understanding.

5. Use the definition of the limits of a sequence to establish the following limits.

$$\lim \left(\frac{n^2}{2n^2+1}\right) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

A sample solution is:

$$\lim \left(\frac{n^2}{2n^2+1}\right) = \lim \left(\frac{\frac{n^2}{n^2}}{\frac{2n^2}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2}}\right) = \lim \left(\frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{n^2}}\right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

Based on the student's work, it showed that student provided an incorrect proof with inadequate understandings of limits of sequences to prove use formal definition. These students did not apply the definitions correctly. In Stavrou (2014) have mentioned that Edwards and Ward have mathematics education research about "Student (mis) use of mathematical definitions", they got results that nothing that students did not understand the role formal definitions play in mathematics. Firstly, students do not understand that definitions are stipulated and context-dependent. Secondly, students that can correctly state definitions cannot necessarily apply them correctly, if at all, "even in the apparent absence of any other course of action".

6. Use the definition of the limits of functions to establish the following limits.

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{x^2}{|x|} = 0$$

A sample solution:

Let  $f(x) := \frac{x^2}{|x|}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and L = 0.  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$ . If  $0 < |x - \delta| < \delta$ ,

$$|f(x) - L| = \left| \frac{x^2}{|x|} - 0 \right| = \frac{x^2}{|x|} = |x| < \varepsilon.$$

## **International Seminar on Education "Education Trends for Future Society"**

Teacher Training and Education Faculty, Muhammadiyah University of Ponorogo, August 30, 2016

Based on student's work above, the students can write down the definition of limit of functions. But, there are some missing steps on proving processes above, such as the determination of  $\delta$ . Whereas, proving limits of functions case, the essence of proof lies on  $\delta$  determination. Besides that, students did not justify the proofs, but they use symbols only. It seemed that they are trying to memorize the definition rather than understand them.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

Writing proofs in mathematics course is different from what has been done during school, so it will take some time to get used to do this properly. The students get a type a difficultness that is content understanding difficultness and difficulties with mathematical processes. A common mistake that students make when trying to present a proof, for content understanding difficultness such as:

- Misunderstanding of definitions.
   Students do not know where to start because they do not know the definitions of the objects they are working with.
- Not enough word.
   Students don't explain the step in their arguments, as if they think they are not allowed to use word, only symbols.
- 3. Lack of understanding.

  When a student gets to a point in a proof that they are cannot proceed from, often the conclusion of the result follow immediately and it is clear that the student does not understand the necessary missing arguments.

University mathematics students is not only get context understanding difficultness but also mathematics processes difficultness, such as incorrect steps. For example they prove by using specific example.

### REFERENCES

Darmawilis, Retni S Budiarti, Gardjito, "Analysis of Learning Difficultness in X<sup>th</sup> Grade Social Science Class in Understand The Fungi Topic Concept SMA N 11 Jambi". Darmawilis: AIC409070 Biologi PMIPA UNJA.

Jena, S.P.K. 2013. Learning Disabilty Theory to Practice. SAGE Publications India Pvt Ltd. Mathura Road, New Delhi.

Lithner, Johan. 2011. University Mathematics Students' Learning Difficulties. *Education Inquiry*. Vol. 2, No. 2, May 2011, pp.289-303.

Stavrou, Stavros Georgios. 2014. Common Errors and Misconceptions in Mathematical Proving by Education Undergraduates. *IUMPST: The Journal. Vol 1 (Content Knowledge), March 2014 [www.k-12prep.math.ttu.edu] ISSN 2165-7874.* 

Stefanowich, Agata. 2014. Proofs and Mathematical Reasoning. University of Brimingham.

Yin Ko Yi, Eric Knuth. 2009. Undergraduate mathematics majors' writing performance producing proofs and counterexamples about continuous functions. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior* 28 (2009) 08-77.

